

<u> </u>	Application No.	Applicant(s) CATO, ROBERT THOMAS	
	09/943,800		
Notice of Allowability	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Mark Tremblay	2876	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT RIOF the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.313	(OR REMAINS) CLOSED in this app or other appropriate communication IGHTS. This application is subject to	olication. If not include will be mailed in due	ed course. THIS
 This communication is responsive to ∑ The allowed claim(s) is/are 1-33. The drawings filed on are accepted by the Examine Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority und a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐ None of the: Certified copies of the priority documents have Certified copies of the priority documents have Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority und The translation of the foreign language provisional at Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority und Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority und 	der 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). be been received. be been received in Application No cuments have been received in this ander 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisication has been received.	national stage applica	tion from the
Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE" of below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDONMENT of			
7. \square A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be submINFORMAL PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which gives reas			OTICE OF
 8. ☐ CORRECTED DRAWINGS must be submitted. (a) ☐ including changes required by the Notice of Draftspers 1) ☐ hereto or 2) ☐ to Paper No (b) ☐ including changes required by the proposed drawing of the composition of	correction filed, which has be 's Amendment / Comment or in the 0	een approved by the E Office action of Paper	No not the back)
9. DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the depo attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT FOR T			Note the
Attachment(s) 1⊠ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 3⊠ Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5⊠ Information Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449), Paper No 7□ Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit of Biological Material	4☐ Interview Summ. 6☐ Examiner's Ame	al Patent Application (l ary (PTO-413), Paper ndment/Comment ement of Reasons for a	No

and Trademark Office Rev. 04-01) Serial Number: 09/943,800 Paper #0324, Page 2

Applicant: Cato

5

10

15

20

25

Filing date: 3/24/03

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

U. S. Patent #5,326,959 to Perazza is cited for showing a method of marking bar codes by hand.

U. S. Patent #4,877,948 to Krueger is cited for showing a combined mark-sense bar code form and system.

U. S. Patent #4,479,194 to Fogg et al. is cited for showing a response form for elections using hand drawn marks.

U. S. Patent #3,839,625 to Cahdima, Jr. et al. is cited for showing an apparatus for hand marking bar codes.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1-33 are allowed.

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: Swartz and Stewart are the primary references in the art for combining marks and bar codes. Applicant discusses these in the background of the invention, and points out the differences between Applicant's invention and these references. The claims clearly define over these. The claims are drawn to a mark adjacent to the bar code, and both of these use marks internal to the bar code. The handmarked codes are read concurrently, which rules out references such as Kreuger. Chadima is cited of interest for forming the whole code by hand. The Perazza disclosure is similar to, but the converse of, the scheme shown in Swartz, in that it eliminates bar codes from being read. The bulk of the prior art which uses bar codes to collect user responses focusses on schemes such as Coffey et al.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for

Survey region

Serial Number: 09/943,800

Paper #0324, Page 3

Allowance."

Voice

Inquiries for the Examiner should be directed to Mark Tremblay at (703) 305-5176. The Examiner's regular office hours are 10:30 am to 7:00 pm EST Monday to Friday. Voice mail is available. If Applicant has trouble contacting the Examiner, the Supervisory Patent Examiner, Michael Lee, can be reached on (703) 305-3503. Technical questions and comments concerning PTO procedures may be directed to the Patent Assistance Center hotline at 1-800-786-9199 or (703) 308-4357.

10

5

MARK TREMBLAY
PRIMARY EXAMINER

March 24, 2003

15